

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,899	01/16/2002	Erik Paiste	272318.00010	5853
75	590 03/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael J. Colitz, III			TRAN, KHOA H	
Holland & Knig 100 N. Tampa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tampa, FL 33602-3644			3634	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/050,899	PAISTE ET AL.					
Authory House	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khoa Tran	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount in the set of the corresponding amount in the correspondi	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation is the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contr	the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a)							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ind an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	/ \						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Tamel P.	Stodola				
	s	DANIEL P. STODO SUPERVISORY PATENT E TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: i. e., at the outset, it should be noted that the limitation recited in claim 1 has been indicated as allowable because the claim as a whole is considered to be patentably over the prior art of record. The incorporation of a single phrase from this claim to other independent claims without also including the other features present in claim 1 alters the scope of these claims and necessitate further consideration and/or search to determine whether or not the added phrase, as presented, would suffice to render these claims patentable.